



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,026	01/11/2002	Michael H. Cohen	3932P006XX 6537		
75	90 07/19/2005	EXAMINER			
•	loff, Taylor & Zafman	OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N			
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire	Boulevard	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030			2655		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application I	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/046,026		COHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	1	Art Unit			
		Michael N. O	P-0-1-11	2655			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	idress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, cation. ays, a reply within the statutory only period will apply and will exby statute. cause the application.	however, may a reply be time	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>11 January 2002</u> .					
2a)□							
3)□							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-60 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consi					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be		•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been r cuments have been r the priority document I Bureau (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applications s have been received 17.2(a)).	on No d in this Nationa	I Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te	⁻ O-152)		

Art Unit: 2655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8,13,14,17-39,44,45,48-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u>.

As per claims 1,29-31,60, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches providing user information into a speech enabled system (abstract, col. 15 lines 30-45)), with applications leading to a network (Fig. 3 & 4). <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> also teaches a method of facilitating interaction between a human user and a processing system, the method comprising (as voice recognition interactive computer system (col. 11 lines 10-36): "receiving......processing system" as receiving input speech an information (col. 11 lines 30-33); "using the information.....processing system" as using the recognized speech to determine which URL, IP, or page request to go to (col. 11 lines 30-35)); <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> also teaches "using the information to optimize.....processing system" as using an interpreter, parser, to interpret the voiced request (col. 11 line 35 – col. 15 lines

Art Unit: 2655

15); <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches reducing the amount of speech input to the browser (col. 15 line 61 – col. 6 line 9). Furthermore, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches a dialog between the user and the speech enabled site wherein the site responds to the user's speech (col. 16 lines 1-48) and teaching a second dialog to improve upon the first dialog (col. 16 lines 1-19;col. 17 lines 5-29; col. 19-26). <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> further teaches customizing the application according to the dialog (col. 17 lines 11-32).

As per claims 2-7,13,19,32-38,44, and 50, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches characteristics of a person based on type device used, gender, type of language, accents, and personalities (col. 4 lines 19-35).

As per claims 8,14,39,45, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches the characteristic being an acoustic characteristic (as audio/acoustic commands – col. 4 lines 38-40).

As per claims 17,48, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches checking the amount of reverberance through an echo detector (col. 98 lines 29-34).

As per claims 18,49, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches notification to the user of an error (col. 15 line 61 – col. 16 line 9).

As per claims 20-22,51-53, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches customization of the dialog wherein a call is routed based upon the characteristic, an error recovery dialog, and

Art Unit: 2655

content delivery based upon the characteristic (as accessing user defined content/grammars based on user acoustic input, and selecting the proper call routing, esp. during an error -- col. 15 line 30 - col. 16 line 9).

As per claims 23,54, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches service advertisements available to the user (col. 4 line 62 – col. 5 line 5).

As per claims 24,55, Ladd et al (6493671) teaches customized call flow (Fig. 5a)

As per claims 25,26,56,57, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches personalized prompts for a recognized user (col. 6 lines 36-50).

As per claims 27,58, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches customization of grammars (col. 15 lines 35-46).

As per claims 28,59, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> teaches a personal customization (col. 4 lines 5-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/046,026

Art Unit: 2655

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9-12,15,16,40-43,46,47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ladd et al (6493671) in view of Hirayama (5854999).

As per claims 9-12,15,16, <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> is silent on handling environmental/background noise issues that may affect the VRU system, however, <u>Hirayama (5854999)</u> teaches a speech recognition method to compensate for environmental fluctuations (<u>Hirayama (5854999)</u>, col. 8 lines 20-47). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech recognition systems at the time the invention was made to incorporate the speech processing system of <u>Hirayama</u> (5854999) into the VRU of <u>Ladd et al (6493671)</u> because it would advantageously compensate for environmental fluctuations, which would reduce the turnaround time for a correct recognition (<u>Hirayama (5854999</u>), col. 5 lines 20-25).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.
- 6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2655

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young, can be reached at (571)272-7582. The facsimile phone number for this group is (571)272-7629.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (571)272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mno 7/9/05

Michael N. Opsasnick

Examiner
Art Unit 2655